



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,010	12/21/2001	Steven R. Soltis	2451	2392

7590

03/07/2006

Beck & Tysver, P.L.L.C.
Suite 100
2900 Thomas Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55416

EXAMINER

PATEL, CHIRAG R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2141

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/028,010	Applicant(s) SOLTIS, STEVEN R.	
	Examiner Chirag R. Patel	Art Unit 2141	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Chirag R. Patel. (3) Steven R. Soltis (Inventor).
 (2) Daniel A. Tysver (Attorney). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 02 March 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Inventor explained the invention, topics discussed were NAS (network attached storage), SAN (storage area network), file system, read-write requests, metadata. It was discussed how the system of Figures 4 and 5 handled read and write requests and how it differentiated and was advantageous over the admitted prior art over Figures 1-3. Attorney filed an amendment to the non-final office for further consideration on the merits.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

RUPAL D. HARRIS
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required